



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/609,417

07/01/2003

Denis Leclerc

1398-104US

9472

50438

7590

09/12/2008

JUNEAU PARTNERS

P.O. BOX 2516

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22301

EXAMINER

BOESEN, AGNIESZKA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1648

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/12/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/609,417	Applicant(s) LECLERC ET AL.	
	Examiner AGNIESZKA BOESEN	Art Unit 1648	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AGNIESZKA BOESEN, USPTO. (3) Zach Cromer, Applicant's representative.

(2) Todd Juneau, Applicant's representative. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 September 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 20 and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lee-Shanok and Ikegami of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative explained the nature of the invention and pointed out the differences between the present invention and the cited art. Art rejection and the written description rejection of record in the Office Action on April 24, 2008 were discussed. Applicant will file a response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Agnieszka Boesen/ Examiner, Art Unit 1648	
-----------------------------------------------	--